# STABLE KNESER GRAPHS: PROBLEMS AND CONJECTURES.

Pablo Torres

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Ingeniería y Agrimensura - Universidad Nacional de Rosario

Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas - Argentina

Mathematical Research Seminar UP FAMNIT - IAM, Koper, 2017.

$$[5] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\},$$
$$[5]^2 = \{S \subset [5] : |S| = 2\}$$

$$[5] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\},$$
  
$$[5]^2 = \{S \subset [5] : |S| = 2\}$$



$$[5] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\},$$
$$[5]^2 = \{S \subset [5] : |S| = 2\}$$



$$[5] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\},$$
$$[5]^2 = \{S \subset [5] : |S| = 2\}$$



$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

KG(n,k): graph with vertex set  $[n]^k$  and edges between disjoint vertices.

•  $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .
- $\omega(KG(n,k)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ .

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

KG(n,k): graph with vertex set  $[n]^k$  and edges between disjoint vertices.

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .
- $\omega(KG(n,k)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ .

Stable sets  $\mathscr{I}_j = \{S \in [n]^k : j \in S\}$ , center *j*.

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .
- $\omega(KG(n,k)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ . Stable sets  $\mathscr{I}_j = \{S \in [n]^k : j \in S\}$ , center *j*.
- $\alpha(KG(n,k)) \ge \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

KG(n,k): graph with vertex set  $[n]^k$  and edges between disjoint vertices.

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .
- $\omega(KG(n,k)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ .

Stable sets  $\mathscr{I}_j = \{S \in [n]^k : j \in S\}$ , center *j*.

•  $\alpha(KG(n,k)) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  [Erdős, Ko, Rado (1961)].

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .
- $\omega(KG(n,k)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ .
- Maximum Stable sets  $\mathscr{I}_j = \{S \in [n]^k : j \in S\}$ , center *j*.
- $\alpha(KG(n,k)) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  [Erdős, Ko, Rado (1961)].

$$n \ge 2k, \ [n] = \{1, \dots, n\},\ [n]^k = \{S \subseteq [n]: \ |S| = k\},$$

- $KG(n,1) \approx K_n$ .
- $KG(2k,k) \approx \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k} K_2.$
- KG(n,k) is *t*-regular, with  $t = \binom{n-k}{k}$ .
- $\omega(KG(n,k)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ .
- Maximum Stable sets  $\mathscr{I}_j = \{S \in [n]^k : j \in S\}$ , center *j*.
- $\alpha(KG(n,k)) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  [Erdős, Ko, Rado (1961)].
- *S* stable set without center  $|S| \le {\binom{n-1}{k-1}} {\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}} + 1$  [*Hilton, Milner (1967)*].

$$\begin{split} r &= 1, \dots, n-2k+1 \ \longrightarrow \ \mathscr{I}'_r = \{S \in [n]^k: \ \min(S) = r\},\\ \mathscr{I}'_{n-2k+2} &= \{S \in [n]^k: \ \min(S) \geq n-2k+2\}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} r &= 1, \dots, n-2k+1 \implies \mathscr{I}'_r = \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) = r\},\\ \mathscr{I}'_{n-2k+2} &= \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) \ge n-2k+2\}.\\ \chi(KG(n,k)) \le n-2k+2. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} r &= 1, \dots, n - 2k + 1 \implies \mathscr{I}'_r = \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) = r\}, \\ \mathscr{I}'_{n-2k+2} &= \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) \ge n - 2k + 2\}. \\ \chi(KG(n,k)) \le n - 2k + 2. \end{split}$$

**Conjecture [Martin Kneser, 1955]**: Let  $\mathscr{I}_1, \mathscr{I}_2, \ldots, \mathscr{I}_{n-2k+1}$  be an (n-2k+1)-partition of  $[n]^k$ . Then, there exists j such that  $\mathscr{I}_j$  contains two disjoint subsets.

$$\begin{split} r &= 1, \dots, n - 2k + 1 \implies \mathscr{I}'_r = \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) = r\}, \\ \mathscr{I}'_{n-2k+2} &= \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) \ge n - 2k + 2\}. \\ \chi(KG(n,k)) \le n - 2k + 2. \end{split}$$

**Conjecture [Martin Kneser, 1955]**: Let  $\mathscr{I}_1, \mathscr{I}_2, \ldots, \mathscr{I}_{n-2k+1}$  be an (n-2k+1)-partition of  $[n]^k$ . Then, there exists j such that  $\mathscr{I}_j$  contains two disjoint subsets.

**Remark:** If the conjecture is true then  $\chi(KG(n,k)) \ge n - 2k + 2$ .

$$\begin{split} r &= 1, \dots, n - 2k + 1 \implies \mathscr{I}'_r = \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) = r\}, \\ \mathscr{I}'_{n-2k+2} &= \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) \ge n - 2k + 2\}. \\ \chi(KG(n,k)) \le n - 2k + 2. \end{split}$$

**Conjecture [Martin Kneser, 1955]**: Let  $\mathscr{I}_1, \mathscr{I}_2, \ldots, \mathscr{I}_{n-2k+1}$  be an (n-2k+1)-partition of  $[n]^k$ . Then, there exists j such that  $\mathscr{I}_j$  contains two disjoint subsets.

**Remark:** If the conjecture is true then  $\chi(KG(n,k)) \ge n - 2k + 2$ .

**Lovász, 1978**:  $\chi(KG(n,k)) = n - 2k + 2$ .

$$\begin{split} r &= 1, \dots, n - 2k + 1 \implies \mathscr{I}'_r = \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) = r\}, \\ \mathscr{I}'_{n-2k+2} &= \{S \in [n]^k : \min(S) \ge n - 2k + 2\}. \\ \chi(KG(n,k)) \le n - 2k + 2. \end{split}$$

**Conjecture [Martin Kneser, 1955]**: Let  $\mathscr{I}_1, \mathscr{I}_2, \ldots, \mathscr{I}_{n-2k+1}$  be an (n-2k+1)-partition of  $[n]^k$ . Then, there exists j such that  $\mathscr{I}_j$  contains two disjoint subsets.

**Remark:** If the conjecture is true then  $\chi(KG(n,k)) \ge n - 2k + 2$ .

**Lovász, 1978**:  $\chi(KG(n,k)) = n - 2k + 2$ .

Are Kneser graphs  $\chi$ -critical?

```
S \subset [n] is 2-stable if 2 \le |i-j| \le n-2 for all i, j \in S.
```

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .  
 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 



FIGURE: KG(5,2)

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .  
 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 



FIGURE:  $KG(5,2)_2$ 

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .  
 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 



FIGURE:  $KG(6,2)_2$ 

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 

 $KG(n,k)_2$ : subgraph of KG(n,k) induced by  $[n]_2^k$ .

#### Schrijver (1978):

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = \chi(KG(n,k)) = n - 2k + 2.$$

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 

 $KG(n,k)_2$ : subgraph of KG(n,k) induced by  $[n]_2^k$ .

#### Schrijver (1978):

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = \chi(KG(n,k)) = n - 2k + 2.$$

•  $KG(n,k)_2$  is  $\chi$ -critical.

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is 2-stable if  $2 \le |i-j| \le n-2$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is 2-stable}\}$ 

 $KG(n,k)_2$ : subgraph of KG(n,k) induced by  $[n]_2^k$ .

#### Schrijver (1978):

- $\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = \chi(KG(n,k)) = n 2k + 2.$
- $KG(n,k)_2$  is  $\chi$ -critical.
- $KG(n,k)_2$ : Schrijver graphs.

#### s-stable Kneser graphs

 $S \subset [n]$  is *s*-stable if  $s \leq |i-j| \leq n-s$ .

#### *s***-stable Kneser graphs**

 $S \subset [n]$  is *s*-stable if  $s \le |i-j| \le n-s$ .  $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is } s\text{-stable}\}.$ 

 $S \subset [n]$  is *s*-stable if  $s \leq |i-j| \leq n-s$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is } s\text{-stable}\}.$ 

*s*-stable Kneser graphs [Alon et al., 2009]  $KG(n,k)_s$ : subgraph of KG(n,k) induced by  $[n]_s^k$ .

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is s-stable if  $s \leq |i-j| \leq n-s$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is } s\text{-stable}\}.$ 

*s*-stable Kneser graphs [Alon et al., 2009]  $KG(n,k)_s$ : subgraph of KG(n,k) induced by  $[n]_s^k$ .



FIGURE:  $KG(7,2)_3$
# **S-STABLE KNESER GRAPHS**

$$S \subset [n]$$
 is s-stable if  $s \leq |i-j| \leq n-s$ .

 $[n]_2^k = \{S \in [n]^k : S \text{ is } s\text{-stable}\}.$ 

*s*-stable Kneser graphs [Alon et al., 2009]  $KG(n,k)_s$ : subgraph of KG(n,k) induced by  $[n]_s^k$ .



FIGURE:  $KG(10,3)_3$ 

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1).$$

- $\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n 2k + 2 = n 2(k-1).$
- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1).$$

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1).$$

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].
- Conjecture [Meunier, 2011]:  $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) = n s(k-1)$ .

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1)$$
.  $s = 2 \checkmark$ 

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].  $n = ks+1 \checkmark$
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].
- Conjecture [Meunier, 2011]:  $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) = n s(k-1)$ .

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1)$$
.  $s = 2 \checkmark$ 

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].  $n = ks+1 \checkmark$
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].
- Conjecture [Meunier, 2011]:  $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) = n s(k-1)$ .
- $s \ge 4$ ,  $k \ge 2$ , the conjecture is true for n large enough [Jonsson, 2012]  $[n \ge \max\left\{\frac{s(s(k-1))+1}{2^q}, sk\right\}$  with  $q = \lfloor \log_2(\frac{s}{2}) \rfloor$ ].

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1)$$
.  $s = 2 \checkmark$ 

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].  $n = ks+1 \checkmark$
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].
- Conjecture [Meunier, 2011]:  $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) = n s(k-1)$ .
- $s \ge 4$ ,  $k \ge 2$ , the conjecture is true for n large enough [Jonsson, 2012]  $[n \ge \max\left\{\frac{s(s(k-1))+1}{2^q}, sk\right\}$  with  $q = \lfloor \log_2(\frac{s}{2}) \rfloor$ ].
- n = 2s + 2,  $k = 2 \checkmark (\chi(KG(2s + 2, 2)_s) = s + 2)$ [M. Valencia, T., 2017]

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1)$$
.  $s = 2 \checkmark$ 

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].  $n = ks+1 \checkmark$
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].
- Conjecture [Meunier, 2011]:  $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) = n s(k-1)$ .
- $s \ge 4$ ,  $k \ge 2$ , the conjecture is true for *n* large enough [Jonsson, 2012]  $[n \ge \max\left\{\frac{s(s(k-1))+1}{2^q}, sk\right\}$  with  $q = \lfloor \log_2(\frac{s}{2}) \rfloor$ ].
- n = 2s + 2,  $k = 2 \checkmark (\chi(KG(2s + 2, 2)_s) = s + 2)$ [M. Valencia, T., 2017]
- $n \le 9, k = 2, s = 3.$   $n \le 10, k = 2, s = 4.$   $n \le 11, k = 3, s = 3.$   $n \le 13, k = 3, s = 4.$   $n \le 14, k = 4, s = 3.$  $n \le 17, k = 4, s = 4.$

• 
$$\chi(KG(n,k)_2) = n - 2k + 2 = n - 2(k-1)$$
.  $s = 2 \checkmark$ 

- $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_s) = s+1$  [Meunier, 2011].  $n = ks+1 \checkmark$
- $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) \le n s(k-1)$  [Meunier, 2011].
- Conjecture [Meunier, 2011]:  $\chi(KG(n,k)_s) = n s(k-1)$ .
- $s \ge 4$ ,  $k \ge 2$ , the conjecture is true for n large enough [Jonsson, 2012]  $[n \ge \max\left\{\frac{s(s(k-1))+1}{2^q}, sk\right\}$  with  $q = \lfloor \log_2(\frac{s}{2}) \rfloor$ ].
- n = 2s + 2,  $k = 2 \checkmark (\chi(KG(2s + 2, 2)_s) = s + 2)$ [M. Valencia, T., 2017] and  $KG(2s + 2, 2)_s$  are not  $\chi$ -critical.

• 
$$n \le 9, k = 2, s = 3.$$
  
 $n \le 10, k = 2, s = 4.$   
 $n \le 11, k = 3, s = 3.$   
 $n \le 13, k = 3, s = 4.$   
 $n \le 14, k = 4, s = 3.$   
 $n \le 17, k = 4, s = 4.$ 

1

# **GRAPH HOMOMORPHISM**

A homomorphism from a graph *G* into a graph *H*, denoted by  $G \rightarrow H$ , is an edge-preserving map from V(G) to V(H).

# **GRAPH HOMOMORPHISM**

A homomorphism from a graph *G* into a graph *H*, denoted by  $G \rightarrow H$ , is an edge-preserving map from V(G) to V(H).

•  $G \rightarrow K_k \Leftrightarrow G$  is *k*-coloreable.

## **GRAPH HOMOMORPHISM**

A homomorphism from a graph *G* into a graph *H*, denoted by  $G \rightarrow H$ , is an edge-preserving map from V(G) to V(H).

- $G \rightarrow K_k \Leftrightarrow G$  is *k*-coloreable.
- $\chi(G) = \min\{k: G \to K_k\}.$

## $G \rightarrow KG(t,r)$

An *r*-tuple coloring of a graph G assigns a set of r colors to each vertex of G such that if two vertices are adjacent, the corresponding sets of colors are disjoint.

 $G \rightarrow KG(t,r) \Leftrightarrow G$  has an *r*-tuple coloring with *t* colors.

An *r*-tuple coloring of a graph G assigns a set of r colors to each vertex of G such that if two vertices are adjacent, the corresponding sets of colors are disjoint.

An *r*-tuple coloring of a graph G assigns a set of r colors to each vertex of G such that if two vertices are adjacent, the corresponding sets of colors are disjoint.



An *r*-tuple coloring of a graph G assigns a set of r colors to each vertex of G such that if two vertices are adjacent, the corresponding sets of colors are disjoint.



$$\chi_2(C_5)=5.$$

An *r*-tuple coloring of a graph G assigns a set of r colors to each vertex of G such that if two vertices are adjacent, the corresponding sets of colors are disjoint.

 $G \rightarrow KG(t, r) \Leftrightarrow G$  has an *r*-tuple coloring with *t* colors.  $\chi_r(G)$ : *r*-tuple cromatic number.

•  $\chi_r(KG(n,k))$ ?

An *r*-tuple coloring of a graph G assigns a set of r colors to each vertex of G such that if two vertices are adjacent, the corresponding sets of colors are disjoint.

- $\chi_r(KG(n,k))$ ?
- Minimum *t* such that  $KG(n,k) \rightarrow KG(t,r)$ .

- $1 \le r \le k$ ,  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = n 2(k-r)$  [Stahl, 1976].
- $\chi_r(KG(2k+1,k)) = 2r+1 + \lfloor \frac{r-1}{k} \rfloor$  [Stahl, 1976].
- $\chi_{rk}(KG(n,k)) = rn$  [Stahl, 1976].

• 
$$1 \le r \le k$$
,  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = n - 2(k-r)$  [Stahl, 1976].

• 
$$\chi_r(KG(2k+1,k)) = 2r+1 + \lfloor \frac{r-1}{k} \rfloor$$
 [Stahl, 1976].

•  $\chi_{rk}(KG(n,k)) = rn$  [Stahl, 1976].

**Conjecture [Stahl, 1976]**: If r = qk - p,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $0 \le p < k$ , then  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = qn - 2p$ .

- $1 \le r \le k$ ,  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = n 2(k-r)$  [Stahl, 1976].
- $\chi_r(KG(2k+1,k)) = 2r+1 + \lfloor \frac{r-1}{k} \rfloor$  [Stahl, 1976].
- $\chi_{rk}(KG(n,k)) = rn$  [Stahl, 1976].

**Conjecture [Stahl, 1976]**: If r = qk - p,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $0 \le p < k$ , then  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = qn - 2p$ .

• *k* = 2,3 ✓ [Stahl, 1998].

• 
$$1 \le r \le k$$
,  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = n - 2(k-r)$  [Stahl, 1976].

• 
$$\chi_r(KG(2k+1,k)) = 2r+1 + \lfloor \frac{r-1}{k} \rfloor$$
 [Stahl, 1976].

•  $\chi_{rk}(KG(n,k)) = rn$  [Stahl, 1976].

**Conjecture [Stahl, 1976]**: If r = qk - p,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $0 \le p < k$ , then  $\chi_r(KG(n,k)) = qn - 2p$ .

- *k* = 2,3 ✓ [Stahl, 1998].
- $n = 10, k = 4\sqrt{\text{[Kincses et al., 2012]}}$ .

*r*-TUPLE COLORING OF KNESER GRAPHS  $\chi(G\Box H) = \max{\chi(G), \chi(H)}.$ 

 $\chi(G \Box H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$ 

 $\chi_r(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi_r(G), \chi_r(H)\}?$ 

 $\chi(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$ 

 $\chi_r(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi_r(G), \chi_r(H)\}?$ 

• If  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) = \omega(H) \checkmark$  [Bonomo, Koch, Valencia, T., 2017].

- If G is hom-idempotent and H is a subgraph of  $G \checkmark$  [B.K.V.T].
- If *G* is not bipartite, *G* has a shift and *H* is bipartite  $\checkmark$  [B.K.V.T]. [An automorphism  $\phi$  of *G* is a shift if  $u, \phi(u) \in E(G)$  for all vertex *u*.]

 $\chi(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$ 

 $\chi_r(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi_r(G), \chi_r(H)\}?$ 

• If  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) = \omega(H) \checkmark$  [Bonomo, Koch, Valencia, T., 2017].

- If G is hom-idempotent and H is a subgraph of  $G \checkmark$  [B.K.V.T].
- If *G* is not bipartite, *G* has a shift and *H* is bipartite  $\checkmark$  [B.K.V.T]. [An automorphism  $\phi$  of *G* is a shift if  $u, \phi(u) \in E(G)$  for all vertex *u*.]

n > 2k,  $KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k) \nrightarrow KG(n,k)$ , [Larose et al., 1998]

 $\chi(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$ 

 $\chi_r(G\Box H) = \max{\chi_r(G), \chi_r(H)}$ ? No.

• If  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) = \omega(H) \checkmark$  [Bonomo, Koch, Valencia, T., 2017].

- If G is hom-idempotent and H is a subgraph of  $G \checkmark$  [B.K.V.T].
- If *G* is not bipartite, *G* has a shift and *H* is bipartite  $\checkmark$  [B.K.V.T]. [An automorphism  $\phi$  of *G* is a shift if  $u, \phi(u) \in E(G)$  for all vertex *u*.]

n > 2k,  $KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k) \not\rightarrow KG(n,k)$ , [Larose et al., 1998]  $\chi_k(KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k)) > n$ .

 $\chi(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$ 

 $\chi_r(G\Box H) = \max{\chi_r(G), \chi_r(H)}$ ? No.

• If  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) = \omega(H) \checkmark$  [Bonomo, Koch, Valencia, T., 2017].

- If G is hom-idempotent and H is a subgraph of G ✓ [B.K.V.T].
- If *G* is not bipartite, *G* has a shift and *H* is bipartite  $\checkmark$  [B.K.V.T]. [An automorphism  $\phi$  of *G* is a shift if  $u, \phi(u) \in E(G)$  for all vertex *u*.]

n > 2k,  $KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k) \not\rightarrow KG(n,k)$ , [Larose et al., 1998]  $\chi_k(KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k)) > n$ .  $\chi_2(KG(2s+4,2)^2) - \chi_2(KG(2s+4,2))$  is not bounded [B.K.V.T.].

 $\chi(G\Box H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$ 

 $\chi_r(G\Box H) = \max{\chi_r(G), \chi_r(H)}$ ? No.

• If  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) = \omega(H) \checkmark$  [Bonomo, Koch, Valencia, T., 2017].

- If G is hom-idempotent and H is a subgraph of G ✓ [B.K.V.T].
- If *G* is not bipartite, *G* has a shift and *H* is bipartite  $\checkmark$  [B.K.V.T]. [An automorphism  $\phi$  of *G* is a shift if  $u, \phi(u) \in E(G)$  for all vertex *u*.]

n > 2k,  $KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k) \not\rightarrow KG(n,k)$ , [Larose et al., 1998]  $\chi_k(KG(n,k) \Box KG(n,k)) > n$ .  $\chi_2(KG(2s+4,2)^2) - \chi_2(KG(2s+4,2))$  is not bounded [B.K.V.T.].

*G* is weakly hom-idempotent if exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $G^{n+1} \to G^n$ .

*G* is weakly hom-idempotent if exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $G^{n+1} \to G^n$ .

• Kneser graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Larose et al., 1998].

*G* is weakly hom-idempotent if exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $G^{n+1} \to G^n$ .

- Kneser graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Larose et al., 1998].
- If n ≥ 2k + 2 and k ≥ 2, Schijver graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Valencia, T., 2017].

*G* is weakly hom-idempotent if exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $G^{n+1} \to G^n$ .

- Kneser graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Larose et al., 1998].
- If n ≥ 2k + 2 and k ≥ 2, Schijver graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Valencia, T., 2017].
- Graphs  $KG(2s+2,2)_s$  are not hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].
HOM-IDEMPOTENCE OF STABLE KNESER GRAPHS *G* is hom-idempotent if  $G^2 = G \Box G \rightarrow G$ .

*G* is weakly hom-idempotent if exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $G^{n+1} \to G^n$ .

- Kneser graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Larose et al., 1998].
- If n ≥ 2k + 2 and k ≥ 2, Schijver graphs are not weakly hom-idempotent graphs [Valencia, T., 2017].
- Graphs  $KG(2s+2,2)_s$  are not hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].
- Graphs *KG*(*sk*+1,*k*)<sub>*s*</sub> are circulant graphs and so hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].

• Graphs *KG*(*sk*+1,*k*)<sub>*s*</sub> are circulant graphs and so hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].

Graphs KG(sk+1,k)s are circulant graphs and so hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].



FIGURE:  $KG(5,2)_2$ 

• Graphs *KG*(*sk*+1,*k*)<sub>*s*</sub> are circulant graphs and so hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].



FIGURE:  $KG(7,2)_3$ 

Graphs KG(sk+1,k)s are circulant graphs and so hom-idempotent graphs [V., T., 2017].



**FIGURE:**  $KG(10,3)_3$ 

A bijective homomorphism from *G* to *G* is an automorphism.

A bijective homomorphism from *G* to *G* is an automorphism.



FIGURE:  $C_5$ 

A bijective homomorphism from *G* to *G* is an automorphism.



**FIGURE:** Rotation

A bijective homomorphism from *G* to *G* is an automorphism.



FIGURE: Reflexion

A bijective homomorphism from *G* to *G* is an automorphism.



FIGURE: Reflexion

The automorphism group of  $C_n$ ,  $Aut(C_n)$ , is  $\mathcal{D}_{2n}$ .

 $\phi$  rotation in [*n*].

 $\phi$  rotation in [*n*].

If n = 5, e.g.  $\phi(\{1,3\}) = \{2,4\}, \phi(\{2,5\}) = \{3,1\}.$ 

 $\phi$  rotation in [*n*].

If n = 5, e.g.  $\phi(\{1,3\}) = \{2,4\}, \phi(\{2,5\}) = \{3,1\}.$ 



 $\phi$  rotation in [*n*].

If n = 5, e.g.  $\phi(\{1,3\}) = \{2,4\}, \phi(\{2,5\}) = \{3,1\}.$ 



 $\phi$  rotation in [*n*].

If n = 5, e.g.  $\phi(\{1,3\}) = \{2,4\}, \phi(\{2,5\}) = \{3,1\}.$ 



Aut(KG(n,k)) is isomorphic to Sym(n).

# THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF *s*-stable Kneser Graphs

 The automorphism group of the Schrijver graph Aut(KG(n,k)<sub>2</sub>) is isomorphic to D₂n [B. Braun, 2010].

# THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF *s*-STABLE KNESER GRAPHS

- The automorphism group of the Schrijver graph Aut(KG(n,k)<sub>2</sub>) is isomorphic to D₂n [B. Braun, 2010].
- The automorphism group of the stable Kneser graph  $Aut(KG(n,k)_s)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}_{2n}$  [T., 2017].

A graph *G* is said vertex-transitive if its automorphism group acts transitively on its vertex-set.

• Kneser graphs are vertex-transitive.

- Kneser graphs are vertex-transitive.
- Schrijver graphs are not vertex transitive in general.

- Kneser graphs are vertex-transitive.
- Schrijver graphs are not vertex transitive in general.



FIGURE:  $KG(6,2)_2$ 

- Kneser graphs are vertex-transitive.
- Schrijver graphs are not vertex transitive in general.
- $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1 [T., 2017].

#### Proofs

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1.

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1.

Vertex  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  s.t.  $s_i < s_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le k-1$ .

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1. Vertex  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  s.t.  $s_i < s_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Gaps  $l_i(S) = s_{i+1} - s_i, 1 \le i \le k - 1, l_k = s_1 + n - s_k$ 

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1. Vertex  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  s.t.  $s_i < s_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Gaps  $l_i(S) = s_{i+1} - s_i, 1 \le i \le k - 1, l_k = s_1 + n - s_k$ 

automorphisms preserve the gaps.

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1. Vertex  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  s.t.  $s_i < s_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Gaps  $l_i(S) = s_{i+1} - s_i, 1 \le i \le k - 1, l_k = s_1 + n - s_k$ 

automorphisms preserve the gaps.

• 
$$n \ge sk+2$$
,  
 $S_1 = \{1, 1+s, 1+2s, \dots, 1+(k-1)s\}, l_i(S_1) = s, 1 \le i \le k-1,$   
 $l_k(S_1) \ge s+2.$ 

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1. Vertex  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  s.t.  $s_i < s_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Gaps  $l_i(S) = s_{i+1} - s_i, 1 \le i \le k - 1, l_k = s_1 + n - s_k$ 

automorphisms preserve the gaps.

• 
$$n \ge sk+2$$
,  
 $S_1 = \{1, 1+s, 1+2s, \dots, 1+(k-1)s\}, l_i(S_1) = s, 1 \le i \le k-1,$   
 $l_k(S_1) \ge s+2.$   
 $S_2 = \{1, 2+s, 2+2s, \dots, 2+(k-1)s\}, l_1(S_2) = s+1.$ 

 $KG(n,k)_s$  is vertex transitive if and only if n = sk + 1. Vertex  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  s.t.  $s_i < s_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Gaps  $l_i(S) = s_{i+1} - s_i, 1 \le i \le k - 1, l_k = s_1 + n - s_k$ 

automorphisms preserve the gaps.

• 
$$n \ge sk+2$$
,  
 $S_1 = \{1, 1+s, 1+2s, \dots, 1+(k-1)s\}, l_i(S_1) = s, 1 \le i \le k-1,$   
 $l_k(S_1) \ge s+2.$   
 $S_2 = \{1, 2+s, 2+2s, \dots, 2+(k-1)s\}, l_1(S_2) = s+1.$ 

• *n* = *sk* + 1, exactly one gap is *s* + 1 and the remaining gaps are equal to *s*.

An *automorphism*  $\phi$  of a graph *G* is called a shift of *G* if  $\{u, \phi(u)\} \in E(G)$  for each  $u \in V(G)$ . In other words, a shift of *G* maps every vertex to one of its neighbors.

An *automorphism*  $\phi$  of a graph *G* is called a shift of *G* if  $\{u, \phi(u)\} \in E(G)$  for each  $u \in V(G)$ . In other words, a shift of *G* maps every vertex to one of its neighbors.

• Let  $n \ge (k+1)s - 1$ . Then, the only 2(s-1) shifts of the *s*-stable Kneser graph  $\operatorname{KG}(n,k)_{s-\operatorname{stab}}$  are the rotations  $\sigma^i$  with  $i \in \{1,\ldots,s-1\} \cup \{n-s+1,\ldots,n-1\}$ .

An *automorphism*  $\phi$  of a graph *G* is called a shift of *G* if  $\{u, \phi(u)\} \in E(G)$  for each  $u \in V(G)$ . In other words, a shift of *G* maps every vertex to one of its neighbors.

- Let  $n \ge (k+1)s 1$ . Then, the only 2(s-1) shifts of the *s*-stable Kneser graph  $KG(n,k)_{s-stab}$  are the rotations  $\sigma^i$  with  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s-1\} \cup \{n-s+1, \ldots, n-1\}$ .
- The only two shifts of the 2-stable Kneser graph  $KG(n,k)_2$  are the rotations  $\sigma^1$  and  $\sigma^{n-1}$ .

• Let *A* be a group and *S* a subset of *A* that is closed under inverses and does not contain the identity. The Cayley graph Cay(A, S) is the graph whose vertex set is *A*, two vertices u, v being joined by an edge if  $u^{-1}v \in S$ .

- Let *A* be a group and *S* a subset of *A* that is closed under inverses and does not contain the identity. The Cayley graph Cay(A,S) is the graph whose vertex set is *A*, two vertices u, v being joined by an edge if  $u^{-1}v \in S$ .
- If *H* is a subgraph of *G* and φ : *G* → *H* has the property that φ(u) = u for every vertex u of *H*, then φ is called a retraction and *H* is called a retract of *G*.

- Let *A* be a group and *S* a subset of *A* that is closed under inverses and does not contain the identity. The Cayley graph Cay(A,S) is the graph whose vertex set is *A*, two vertices u, v being joined by an edge if  $u^{-1}v \in S$ .
- If *H* is a subgraph of *G* and φ : *G* → *H* has the property that φ(u) = u for every vertex u of *H*, then φ is called a retraction and *H* is called a retract of *G*.
- A graph *G* is called a core if it has no proper retracts. It is well known that any finite graph *G* is homomorphically equivalent to at least one core  $G^{\bullet}$ , as can be seen by selecting  $G^{\bullet}$  as a retract of *G* with a minimum number of vertices. In this way,  $G^{\bullet}$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism, and it makes sense to think of it as the core of *G*.

- Let *A* be a group and *S* a subset of *A* that is closed under inverses and does not contain the identity. The Cayley graph Cay(A,S) is the graph whose vertex set is *A*, two vertices u, v being joined by an edge if  $u^{-1}v \in S$ .
- If *H* is a subgraph of *G* and φ : *G* → *H* has the property that φ(u) = u for every vertex u of *H*, then φ is called a retraction and *H* is called a retract of *G*.
- A graph G is called a core if it has no proper retracts. It is well known that any finite graph G is homomorphically equivalent to at least one core G<sup>•</sup>, as can be seen by selecting G<sup>•</sup> as a retract of G with a minimum number of vertices. In this way, G<sup>•</sup> is uniquely determined up to isomorphism, and it makes sense to think of it as the core of G.
- Given a graph G, the set of all shifts of G is denoted by  $S_G$ .
## Proofs

• A graph *G* is hom-idempotent if and only if  $G \leftrightarrow Cay(Aut(G^{\bullet}), S_{G^{\bullet}})$ [Larose et al. 1998].

# PROOFS

- A graph *G* is hom-idempotent if and only if  $G \leftrightarrow Cay(Aut(G^{\bullet}), S_{G^{\bullet}})$ [Larose et al. 1998].
- Let *G* be a  $\chi$ -critical graph. Then *G* is weakly hom-idempotent if and only if it is hom-idempotent [Larose et al. 1998].

#### PROOFS

- A graph *G* is hom-idempotent if and only if  $G \leftrightarrow Cay(Aut(G^{\bullet}), S_{G^{\bullet}})$ [Larose et al. 1998].
- Let *G* be a  $\chi$ -critical graph. Then *G* is weakly hom-idempotent if and only if it is hom-idempotent [Larose et al. 1998].
- Let  $n \ge 2k+2$  and let *G* denotes the graph  $KG(n,k)_{2-\text{stab}}$ . Then,  $G \nrightarrow \text{Cay}(\text{Aut}(G), S_G)$ , where  $S_G$  are the shifts of *G*.

## PROOFS

- A graph *G* is hom-idempotent if and only if  $G \leftrightarrow Cay(Aut(G^{\bullet}), S_{G^{\bullet}})$ [Larose et al. 1998].
- Let *G* be a  $\chi$ -critical graph. Then *G* is weakly hom-idempotent if and only if it is hom-idempotent [Larose et al. 1998].
- Let  $n \ge 2k+2$  and let *G* denotes the graph  $KG(n,k)_{2-\text{stab}}$ . Then,  $G \nrightarrow \text{Cay}(\text{Aut}(G), S_G)$ , where  $S_G$  are the shifts of *G*.
- For any n ≥ 2k+2, the 2-stable Kneser graphs KG(n,k)<sub>2-stab</sub> are not weakly hom-idempotent.

# Hvala! ¡Muchas gracias!

# REFERENCES

- N. Alon, L. Drewnowski, T. Łuczak, Stable Kneser hypergraphs and ideals in N with the Nikodým property, Proc Am Math Soc 137:467–471, 2009.
- B. Braun, *Symmetries of the stable Kneser graphs*, Advances in Applied Mathematics, 45:12–14, 2010.
- P. Erdős, C. Ko, R. Rado, *Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets*. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 12:313–320, 1961.
- M. Kneser, Aufgabe 360, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung, 2. Abteilung, vol. 50, 1955, pp. 27.
- J. Kincses, G. Makay, M. Maróti, J. Osztényi, L. Zádori, A special case of Stahl conjecture, European Journal of Combinatorics 34:502–511, 2013.
- B. Larose, F. Laviolette, C. Tardif, On normal Cayley graphs and Hom-idempotent graphs, European Journal of Combinatorics, 19:867–881, 1998.
- L. Lovász, *Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number and homotopy*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 25:319–324, 1978.
- F. Meunier, *The chromatic number of almost stable Kneser hypergraphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 118:1820–1828, 2011.
- A. Schrijver, *Vertex-critical subgraphs of Kneser graphs*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd., 26(3):454–461, 1978.

# REFERENCES

- 10 S. Stahl, *n*-*Tuple colorings and associated graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 20:185–203, 1976.
- 11 S. Stahl, *The multichromatic numbers of some Kneser graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, 185:287–291, 1998.

Cited papers

- F. Bonomo, I. Koch, P. Torres, M. Valencia-Pabon, *k-tuple colorings of the cartesian product of graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2017.DOI: 10.1016/j.dam.2017.02.003
- P. Torres, *The automorphism group of the s-stable Kneser graphs*, Advances in Applied Mathematics 89:67–75, 2017
- P. Torres, M. Valencia-Pabon, *Shifts of the Stable Kneser Graphs and Hom-Idempotence*, European Journal of Combinatorics 62:50–57, 2017.

G	r	$\chi_r(G)$	$\chi_r(G\Box G) =$	$\chi_r(G\square G) \ge$	$\chi_r(G\Box G) \leq$
KG(5,2)	2	5	6	-	-
-	3	8	9	-	-
-	4	10	12	-	-
-	5	13	15	-	-
-	6	15	18	-	-
-	7	18	?	20	21
KG(6,2)	2	6	8	-	-
-	3	10	12	-	-
-	4	12	?	15	16
-	5	16	?	19	20
-	6	18	?	23	24
KG(7,2)	2	7	?	9	10
-	3	12	?	13	15
-	4	14	?	17	20
KG(8,2)	2	8	?	11	12
-	3	14	?	16	18
-	4	16	?	21	24