

Michal Zajac

Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava

It is well-known that any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is similar to its Jordan canonical form, or equivalently there exists an ordered basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$ consisting of (chains of) generalized eigenvectors of A . This basis generates a system of subspaces invariant for the operator (matrix) A . If, moreover, $A^*A = AA^*$, i.e. A is a normal matrix, then A is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix. The latter result has a generalization to infinite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces, the well-known spectral theorem.

The set of all closed subspaces invariant for a given operator T forms a lattice $\text{Lat } T$. Generally, it is not known whether there exists a bounded linear operator T on a complex separable Hilbert H space for which

$$\text{Lat } T = \{ \{0\}, H \}.$$

This question, open since 1930th, is known as the *Invariant subspace problem*.

The closure in weak operator topology of the set of all polynomials in an operator T is denoted by $\text{Alg } T$. Clearly $A \in \text{Alg } T \implies \text{Lat } T \subset \text{Lat } A$. If there exist many invariant subspaces for T in the sense

$$\{A: \text{Lat } T \subset \text{Lat } A\} = \text{Alg } T$$

then T is said to be reflexive (Sarason, 1966). The notion of reflexive operator was generalized to linear subspaces of operators (Shulman, 1972), and a stronger quantitative notion, hyperreflexivity, was defined and studied first by Arveson (1975).

In the lecture, first, some known examples of operators for which the existence of non-trivial invariant spaces is known will be considered. Then reflexivity and hyperreflexivity in finite dimensional spaces will be considered in more detail.