

Association schemes, Schur rings and Cayley graph isomorphism problem

Misha Muzychuk

Netanya Academic College,
Israel

Rogla, June 2011

Isomorphism Problem for Cayley graphs

Cayley graphs

Let H be a finite group and $S \subseteq H$. A **Cayley graph** over H generated by a **connection** set S has H as a vertex set, and two vertices $x, y \in H$ are connected iff $xy^{-1} \in S$. Notation $\text{Cay}(H, S)$. A Cayley graph over cyclic group is called **circulant**.

Isomorphism Problem for Cayley graphs

Cayley graphs

Let H be a finite group and $S \subseteq H$. A **Cayley graph** over H generated by a **connection** set S has H as a vertex set, and two vertices $x, y \in H$ are connected iff $xy^{-1} \in S$. Notation $\text{Cay}(H, S)$. A Cayley graph over cyclic group is called **circulant**.

Cayley graphs isomorphism problem (CGIP)

Given two Cayley graphs $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ and $\text{Cay}(H, T)$. Decide whether they are isomorphic.

Isomorphism Problem for Cayley graphs

Cayley graphs

Let H be a finite group and $S \subseteq H$. A **Cayley graph** over H generated by a **connection** set S has H as a vertex set, and two vertices $x, y \in H$ are connected iff $xy^{-1} \in S$. Notation $\text{Cay}(H, S)$. A Cayley graph over cyclic group is called **circulant**.

Cayley graphs isomorphism problem (CGIP)

Given two Cayley graphs $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ and $\text{Cay}(H, T)$. Decide whether they are isomorphic.

Input: a group H of order n (given by its Cayley table), two subsets S, T . We are looking for an algorithm which recognizes an isomorphism between $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ and $\text{Cay}(H, T)$ with running time $n^{O(1)}$.

Cayley isomorphism

Definition

Cayley graphs $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ and $\text{Cay}(H, T)$ are called **Cayley isomorphic**, notation $\text{Cay}(H, T) \cong_{\text{Cay}} \text{Cay}(H, S)$, if $\exists \varphi \in \text{Aut}(H)$ such that $S^\varphi = T$. Clearly that

$$\text{Cay}(H, T) \cong_{\text{Cay}} \text{Cay}(H, S) \implies \text{Cay}(H, T) \cong \text{Cay}(H, S)$$

Definition

A subset $S \subseteq H$ is called a **CI-subset** if any Cayley graph over H isomorphic to $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is also Cayley isomorphic. A group H is called a **CI-group** with respect to graphs if each subset of H is a CI-subsets.

Related problems

Cayley isomorphism problem

Given two subsets $S, T \subseteq H$. Find whether they are conjugate by an element of $\text{Aut}(H)$. Related problem: find a stabiliser of S in $\text{Aut}(H)$.

Related problems

Cayley isomorphism problem

Given two subsets $S, T \subseteq H$. Find whether they are conjugate by an element of $\text{Aut}(H)$. Related problem: find a stabiliser of S in $\text{Aut}(H)$.

Automorphism group of a Cayley graph

Given a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$. Find its automorphism group (the generators).

Related problems

Cayley isomorphism problem

Given two subsets $S, T \subseteq H$. Find whether they are conjugate by an element of $\text{Aut}(H)$. Related problem: find a stabiliser of S in $\text{Aut}(H)$.

Automorphism group of a Cayley graph

Given a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$. Find its automorphism group (the generators).

Recognizing being a Cayley graph over a given group

Given a graph Γ of order n and a concrete group H of order n . Is Γ isomorphic to a Cayley graph over H ? In other words, does $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contain a regular subgroup isomorphic to H .

Problems summary

- 1 Cayley isomorphism.
- 2 Usual isomorphism (ISO).
- 3 Automorphism group (AGEN).
- 4 Cayley graph recognition.

Coherent closure of a Cayley graph

Let H be a finite group. For each $h \in H$ we set

- $h_L := \{(x, hx) \mid x \in H\}$, $H_L = \{h_L \mid h \in H\}$;
- $h_R := \{(x, xh) \mid x \in H\}$, $H_R = \{h_R \mid h \in H\}$

Both H_L and H_R are regular subgroups of $\text{Sym}(H)$ isomorphic to H .

Well-known fact

Each Cayley graph over H is H_R -invariant. In particular it is vertex transitive.

Corollary

A coherent closure $\langle\langle \text{Cay}(H, S) \rangle\rangle$ is an association scheme.

Association schemes

Definition

Recall that an association scheme is a pair $\mathcal{X} = (\Omega, \mathcal{S})$ satisfying the following conditions

- 1 \mathcal{S} is a partition of Ω^2 ;
- 2 $1_\Omega \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}$;
- 3 for any triple of relations $r, s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ and any pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in t$ the **intersection** number $c_{rs}^t := |\alpha r \cap \beta s^*|$ depends only on r, s, t .

The elements of \mathcal{S} are called **basic relations** of \mathcal{X} . The **adjacency matrix** of \mathcal{X} : $A(\mathcal{S})_{\alpha, \beta} := s \iff (\alpha, \beta) \in s$.

Recall that the numbers $|\Omega|, |\mathcal{S}|$ and $|\mathcal{S}| - 1$ are called the **degree, rank** and **class number** of \mathcal{X} .

Association schemes

Basic (di)graphs

For each non-reflexive $s \in S$ the pair (Ω, s) is called a **basic graph** of \mathcal{X} . The valency of the graph (Ω, s) is denoted as n_s ($n_s = c_{ss^*}^1$). For a given subset $T \subseteq S$ we set $n_T = \sum_{t \in T} n_t$.

Association schemes

Basic (di)graphs

For each non-reflexive $s \in S$ the pair (Ω, s) is called a **basic graph** of \mathcal{X} . The valency of the graph (Ω, s) is denoted as n_s ($n_s = c_{ss}^1$). For a given subset $T \subseteq S$ we set $n_T = \sum_{t \in T} n_t$.

Adjacency (Bose-Mesner) algebra

The adjacency matrix of a basic graph (Ω, s) is denoted as $A(s)$. It follows from

$$A(s)A(t) = \sum_{r \in S} c_{st}^r A(r)$$

that the linear span of the matrices $A(s)$, $s \in S$ is a subalgebra of $M_\Omega(\mathbb{C})$ - **complex adjacency algebra** of \mathcal{X} , notation $\mathbb{C}[S]$. A scheme is called **commutative** if $\mathbb{C}[S]$ is commutative.

Association schemes

Product of relations

Given $s, t \in T$, we set $st := \{r \in S \mid c_{st}^r \neq 0\}$. If $A, B \subseteq S$, then $AB := \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} ab$. A relational product of $s, t \in S$ is denoted as $s \cdot t$.

Association schemes

Product of relations

Given $s, t \in T$, we set $st := \{r \in S \mid c_{st}^r \neq 0\}$. If $A, B \subseteq S$, then $AB := \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} ab$. A relational product of $s, t \in S$ is denoted as $s \cdot t$.

Exercise

Prove that $s \cdot t = \bigcup_{r \in st} r$

Association schemes

Product of relations

Given $s, t \in T$, we set $st := \{r \in S \mid c_{st}^r \neq 0\}$. If $A, B \subseteq S$, then $AB := \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} ab$. A relational product of $s, t \in S$ is denoted as $s \cdot t$.

Exercise

Prove that $s \cdot t = \bigcup_{r \in st} r$

A scheme is called **symmetric** (**antisymmetric**) if all its non-reflexive basic relations are symmetric (antisymmetric).

Exercise

Prove that a symmetric scheme is commutative. Hint: use $A(s^*) = A(s)^T$.

Schurian schemes

Let G be a finite group acting transitively on the set Ω .
Extending this action to a diagonal action on Ω^2 :
 $(\alpha, \beta)^g := (\alpha^g, \beta^g)$ we obtain a partition of Ω^2 into G -orbits (they are called **2-orbits (orbitals)** of G). The set of 2-orbits will be denoted as Ω^2/G .

Known fact

A pair $Inv(G) = (\Omega, \Omega^2/G)$ is an association scheme.
Association schemes of this type are called **Schurian**.

Recall that the scheme $Inv(G)$ as a **2-orbit (orbital) scheme** of G .

Thin schemes

Definition

A basic relation $s \in S$ is called **thin** if $n_s = 1$ (in other words s is a permutation on Ω). A scheme is thin if every basic relation is thin.

Examples

Consider a 2-orbit scheme $\text{Inv}(H_R)$ of the group $H_R \leq \text{Sym}(H)$ where H is a finite group. In this case $\Omega = H$ and the basic relations are of the form $h_L = \{(x, hx) \mid x \in H\}$ where h runs through H . All basic relations of this scheme are thin.

Theorem

Any thin scheme is isomorphic to a scheme (H, H_L) for some finite group H .

Flag scheme of a projective planes

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P, L)$ be a projective plane of order n . Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of flags (p, ℓ) of the plane \mathcal{P} . Define two relations on \mathcal{F} as following

$$\begin{aligned} s &:= \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) \mid p_1 = p_2, \ell_1 \neq \ell_2\}, \\ t &:= \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) \mid p_1 \neq p_2, \ell_1 = \ell_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the relations $1, s, t, s \cdot t, t \cdot s, s \cdot t \cdot s$ form an association scheme of rank 6 on \mathcal{F} called the **flag scheme of a projective plane**. Notice that $s \cdot t \cdot s = t \cdot s \cdot t$.

Flag scheme of a projective planes

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P, L)$ be a projective plane of order n . Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of flags (p, ℓ) of the plane \mathcal{P} . Define two relations on \mathcal{F} as following

$$\begin{aligned} s &:= \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) \mid p_1 = p_2, \ell_1 \neq \ell_2\}, \\ t &:= \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) \mid p_1 \neq p_2, \ell_1 = \ell_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the relations $1, s, t, s \cdot t, t \cdot s, s \cdot t \cdot s$ form an association scheme of rank 6 on \mathcal{F} called the **flag scheme of a projective plane**. Notice that $s \cdot t \cdot s = t \cdot s \cdot t$.

Exercise

Compute $s(s \cdot t)$.

Affine schemes

Let $A = (P, L)$ be an affine plane of order n . Define a partition of $P \times P \setminus 1_P$ by putting two pairs $(p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)$ into the same class iff the lines $p_1 p_2, q_1 q_2$ are parallel or coincide. In this way we obtain a partition of $P^2 \setminus 1_P$ into $n + 1$ binary relations which are in one-to-one correspondence with parallel classes of lines in A . These relations together with the identity 1_P form a symmetric association scheme of degree n^2 and rank $n + 2$.

Fusions and fissions

Let $\mathcal{X} = (\Omega, S)$ and $\mathfrak{Y} = (\Omega, T)$ be two schemes. We say that \mathfrak{Y} is a **fusion** of \mathcal{X} (and \mathcal{X} is a **fission** of \mathfrak{Y}) if each basic relation of \mathfrak{Y} is a union of some basic relations of \mathcal{X} . Thus \mathfrak{Y} is a fusion of \mathcal{X} iff the $\mathbb{C}[T] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[S]$, or, equivalently, $T \sqsubseteq S$ (that is the partition S is a refinement of T).

Proposition

Let Γ be a G -invariant (di)graph where $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is a transitive permutation group. Then the coherent closure $\langle\langle \Gamma \rangle\rangle$ is a fusion of the scheme $\text{Inv}(G) = (\Omega, \Omega^2/G)$.

Corollary

A coherent closure of a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is a fusion scheme of $\text{Inv}(H_R) = (H, H_L)$. Any fusion of this scheme is called a **Cayley scheme** over H .

Fusions and fissions

A fusion \mathfrak{N} of \mathcal{X} is uniquely determined by a partition \mathcal{P} of S where two basic relations of S belong to the same class of \mathcal{P} iff they are fused in \mathfrak{N} . This partition has the following properties $\mathcal{P}(1) = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{P}(s^*) = \mathcal{P}(s)^*$. A partition \mathcal{P} of S satisfying these conditions is usually called **admissible**. Notice that not every admissible partition determines a scheme.

Proposition

All partitions determining fusions of \mathcal{X} form a sublattice of a partition lattice of S . It has a unique maximal element - \mathcal{X} and unique minimal one - the trivial scheme.

Fusions in the flag scheme of a projective plane

Theorem

Let $(\mathcal{F}, \{1, s, t, s \cdot t, t \cdot s, s \cdot t \cdot s\})$ be the flag scheme of a projective plane of order $n > 1$. Then it admits the following non-trivial fusions

- 1 $\{1\}, \{s\}, \{t, s \cdot t, t \cdot s, s \cdot t \cdot s\};$
- 2 $\{1\}, \{t\}, \{s, s \cdot t, t \cdot s, s \cdot t \cdot s\};$
- 3 $\{1\}, \{s, t\}, \{s \cdot t, t \cdot s\}, \{s \cdot t \cdot s\}.$

The latter fusion determines the scheme of a d.r.g. of diameter 3.

Fusions in the affine scheme

Theorem

Let (P, S) be an affine scheme of rank $n + 2$ which corresponds to an affine plane of order n . Then any admissible partition of S determines a fusion scheme of (P, S) .

Cayley schemes

Let H be an arbitrary finite, multiplicatively written, group with identity e . Then (H, H_L) is a 2-orbit scheme of the regular permutation group H_R . Any fusion scheme of (H, H_L) is called a **Cayley scheme** over H . A Cayley scheme over cyclic group is called a **circulant** scheme.

Example

Let \mathcal{X} be a circulant scheme of degree 4. Its adjacency matrix is

$$A(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Merging of classes 3 and 1 yields a fusion scheme \mathcal{X}' .

Cayley schemes

$$A(\mathcal{X}') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Cayley schemes

Each Cayley scheme is invariant under the action of H_R .

Theorem

An association scheme is isomorphic to a Cayley scheme over group H iff the automorphism group of a scheme contains a regular subgroup isomorphic to H .

Since each fusion of a scheme is uniquely determined by an admissible partition of scheme's basic relations, any fusion of a Cayley scheme is completely determined by a partition of H .

Fusion of Cayley schemes

Schur partitions

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}_0, \dots, \mathcal{S}_d\}$ be a partition of a finite group H . It is called **Schur partition** (**S-partition**, for short) if it satisfies the following conditions

- 1 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{e\}$;
- 2 for each $i \in [0, d]$ there exists $i' \in [0, d]$ such that $\mathcal{S}_i^{(-1)} := \{h^{-1} \mid h \in \mathcal{S}_i\} = \mathcal{S}_{i'}$;
- 3 for each triple $i, j, k \in [0, d]$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}_k$ the number of pairs $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_i \times \mathcal{S}_j$ satisfying $xy = a$ depends only on a triple i, j, k .

Schur partitions

Example

$$H = \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathcal{S} = \{\{0\}, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}.$$

Let \mathcal{S} be an arbitrary partition of H . Denote by $\text{Cay}(H, \mathcal{S})$ the partition of $H \times H$ into the disjoint union of binary relations $\text{Cay}(H, S), S \in \mathcal{S}$ where $\text{Cay}(H, S) = \{(x, y) \in H \times H \mid xy^{-1}\}$.

Theorem

A pair $(H, \text{Cay}(H, \mathcal{S}))$ is a scheme iff \mathcal{S} is a Schur partition. Thus there is a one-to-one correspondence between Cayley schemes over H and Schur partitions of H .

Schur rings/algebras

Let $\mathbb{C}[H]$ be the group algebra of H over \mathbb{C} . It consists of all formal sums $\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_h h$, $\alpha_h \in \mathbb{C}$ which are multiplied according to the following rule:

$$\left(\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_h h\right) \left(\sum_{h \in H} \beta_h h\right) = \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{f \in H} (\alpha_h \beta_f) (hf).$$

The **Schur-Hadamard product** in $\mathbb{C}[H]$ is defined as follows:

$$\left(\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_h h\right) \circ \left(\sum_{h \in H} \beta_h h\right) = \sum_{h \in H} (\alpha_h \beta_h) h.$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x = \sum_{h \in H} x_h h$ let $x^{(m)} := \sum_{h \in H} x_h h^m$. We also write $T^{(m)}$ for $\{t^m \mid t \in T\}$ where $T \subseteq H$.

Schur rings

A **simple quantity** is an element of the form $\underline{T} := \sum_{t \in T} t$ where $T \subseteq H$. In what follows we write g instead of $\{g\}$. \underline{T} is called **symmetric** if $T^{(-1)} = T$.

Given a partition \mathcal{T} of H we set $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ to be a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[H]$ spanned \underline{T} , $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Definition

A subalgebra \mathcal{A} of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[H]$ is called a **Schur ring/algebra** (more briefly, an **S-ring**) if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (S1) \mathcal{A} has a basis of simple quantities $\underline{T}_0, \dots, \underline{T}_r$, where $T_0 = \{e\}$,
- (S2) $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\bigcup_{j=0}^r T_j = H$,
- (S3) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ there exists $i' \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ such that $T_{i'} = T_i^{(-1)}$.

Schur rings

By (S1) and (S2), the basis $\underline{T}_0, \dots, \underline{T}_r$ is unique and it is called the **standard basis** of \mathcal{A} . The number $r + 1$ is called the **rank** of \mathcal{A} . The sets T_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$, are called the **basic sets** of \mathcal{A} and the notation $\mathcal{A} = \langle \underline{T}_0, \dots, \underline{T}_r \rangle$ will be used if \mathcal{A} is an S-ring over H whose basic sets are T_0, \dots, T_r . Since \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra, the product $\underline{T}_i \underline{T}_j$ is a linear combination of $\underline{T}_0, \dots, \underline{T}_r$. That is

$$\underline{T}_i \underline{T}_j = \sum_k c_{ij}^k \underline{T}_k$$

where c_{ij}^k are **structure constants** of the S-ring \mathcal{A} . It is easy to check that

$$c_{ij}^k = |\{(x, y) \in T_i \times T_j \mid xy = g\}|,$$

where $g \in T_k$ is arbitrary.

Schur rings

There is a **trivial** S-ring over an arbitrary group H , namely $\langle \underline{e}, H \setminus \{e\} \rangle$. A subset S of H is called an \mathcal{A} -**subset** or an \mathcal{A} -**set** if S is a union of basic sets of \mathcal{A} . Note that an intersection and union of two \mathcal{A} -subsets is once more an \mathcal{A} -subset.

Note that the group algebra by itself is a Schur ring, the basic sets of which are singletons. Any S-ring different from $\mathbb{C}[H]$ is called **proper**.

Simple fact

There is one-to-one correspondence between S-partitions of H and S-rings over H .

Schur rings - algebraic approach

It follows from the definition that each S-ring contains e, \underline{H} (note that e and \underline{H} the units with respect to the multiplications \cdot and \circ , respectively) and is closed with respect to the operations $\cdot, \circ, {}^{(-1)}$. It turns out this is a characteristic property of S-rings.

Theorem

A vector subspace $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[H]$ is an S-ring over H if and only if $e \in \mathcal{A}$, $\underline{H} \in \mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{A} is closed with respect to \cdot, \circ and ${}^{(-1)}$.

It follows from this result that the intersection of S-rings over H is an S-ring over the same group. Given $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{C}[H]$, we define $\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle\rangle$ to be the least S-ring which contains the elements x_1, \dots, x_k .

Examples

Theorem (Schur)

Let $G = AH$ be a factorization of a finite group G into a product of two trivially intersecting subgroups. Then the centralizer \mathcal{A} of \underline{A} in $\mathbb{C}[H]$ is a Schur ring over H . The basic sets of \mathcal{A} have a form $AgA \cap H$.

A concrete example

A simple group $SL_3(2)$ has a decomposition into a product AH where $A \cong D_8$ and $H \cong F_{21}$. The corresponding S-ring over H has rank six and its Cayley scheme is isomorphic to a flag scheme of a projective plane of order 2.

Examples

Corollary of Schur's Theorem

Let $\Phi \leq \text{Aut}(H)$ be an arbitrary group of automorphisms. Then the set of Φ -orbits H/Φ is a Schur partition.

Corollary

Conjugacy classes of a finite group form an S-partition.

Subgroup S-ring

Let \mathcal{L} be a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of a group H such that $\{e\}, H \in \mathcal{L}$. Assume that any two subgroups $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ are permutable, that is $AB = BA$. Then the linear span of $\underline{A}, A \in \mathcal{L}$ is a Schur ring over H .

Examples

Below there is a complete list of proper Schur rings over \mathbb{Z}_8 computed by the package COCO.

$\{0\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 6, 4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 6\}, \{4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2\}, \{6\}, \{4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{2\}, \{6\}, \{4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 3\}, \{5, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\};$

$\{0\}, \{1, 7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\};$

Isomorphisms between S-rings

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two S-rings over groups H and K resp. Let $S_0 = \{e\}, \dots, S_d$ and $T_0 = \{e\}, \dots, T_d$ be their basic sets.

Definition

We say that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are

- 1 **Cayley isomorphic** if there exists a group isomorphism from H to K which maps \mathcal{A} bijectively onto \mathcal{B} ;
- 2 **(combinatorially) isomorphic** iff there exists a scheme isomorphism between Cayley schemes $\text{Cay}(H, \{S_0, \dots, S_d\})$ and $\text{Cay}(K, \{T_0, \dots, T_d\})$;
- 3 **algebraically isomorphic** iff there exists an algebra isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} which maps the standard basis of \mathcal{A} onto a standard basis of \mathcal{B}

Isomorphisms between S-rings

An algebraic isomorphism induces a bijection f between the basic sets (or index sets) such that $c_{ij}^k = c_{i'j'}^{k'}$.

Theorem

Let $f : H \rightarrow K$ be a bijection which maps e_H to e_K . Then f is a combinatorial isomorphism iff it satisfies the conditions

$$(hS)^f = h^f S^f \text{ for each } h \in H \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{S}.$$

A combinatorial isomorphism $f : H \rightarrow K$ is called **normalized** if $e_H^f = e_K$. Notice that two S-rings are comb. isom. iff there exists a normalized comb. isom between them.

Connections between isomorphisms

Lemma

If $f : H \rightarrow K$ is normalized combinatorial isomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} , then its restriction onto \mathcal{A} is an algebraic isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

$$\mathcal{A} \cong_{\text{Cay}} \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cong_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}.$$

$$\mathcal{A} \cong_{\text{Cay}} \mathcal{B} \not\Leftarrow \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B} \not\Leftarrow \mathcal{A} \cong_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}.$$

Examples

Cayley isomorphism

The partitions $\{\{00\}, \{10\}, \{01, 11\}\}$ and $\{\{00\}, \{01\}, \{10, 11\}\}$ are two Schur partitions of \mathbb{Z}_2^2 which are Cayley isomorphic by an automorphism $xy \mapsto yx$.

Combinatorial isomorphism

The partitions $\{\{00\}, \{11\}, \{10, 01\}\}$ and $\{\{0\}, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ are Schur partitions of \mathbb{Z}_2^2 and \mathbb{Z}_4 respectively. The mapping

$$00 \mapsto 0, \quad 11 \mapsto 2, \quad 01 \mapsto 1, \quad 10 \mapsto 3$$

is a combinatorial isomorphism between the S-rings known in coding theory as Gray map.

Examples

Let K_4 be the Klein four group (i.e. $K_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$) and $H = K_4 \times K_4$. Let Φ be an order two subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$ generated by an involution interchanging the coordinates. Then H/Φ is an S-partition with 4 orbits of length one and 6 orbits of length two.

Let $K = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\Psi \leq \text{Aut}(H)$ be an order two subgroup generated by an automorphism $x \mapsto -x$. Then K/Ψ be an S-partition with 4 orbits of length one and 6 orbits of length two.

Proposition

S-rings $\underline{H/\Phi}$ and $\underline{K/\Psi}$ are isomorphic algebraically but not isomorphic combinatorially.

Automorphisms of an S-ring

Automorphism group of an S-ring

Let \mathcal{A} be an S-ring over H and \mathcal{S} the corresponding S-partition. The group $G := \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H, \mathcal{S}))$ is called the **automorphism group** of \mathcal{A} .

- $H_R \leq G$;
- $G = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H, S))$;
- each basic set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is G_e -invariant.

We say that \mathcal{A} is **Schurian** if $\text{Cay}(H, \mathcal{S})$ is Schurian, that is each basic set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is an orbit of G_e .

Application of S-rings to the CGIP

Solving sets

A set $P \subseteq \text{Sym}(H)$ is called a **solving set** for a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ iff

$$\forall T \subseteq H \text{ Cay}(H, T) \cong \text{Cay}(H, S) \iff \exists f \in P \text{ Cay}(H, S)^f = \text{Cay}(H, T).$$

A graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is a CI iff $\text{Aut}(H)$ is a solving set. A set S is a solving set w.r.t Cayley graphs over H iff it is a solving set for each Cayley graph over H .

Theorem (Babai)

Let $G = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H, S))$. A graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is CI iff any regular subgroup K of G isomorphic to H is conjugate in G to H_R .

Constructing individual solving sets

Definition

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(H)$ be an arbitrary group. A set $F_i, i \in I$ of regular subgroups of G isomorphic to H is called an **H -base** of G iff any regular subgroup of G isomorphic to H is conjugate in G to exactly one F_i .

Theorem

Let $F_i, i \in I$ be an H -base of the group $G := \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H, S))$. Denote by $f_i \in \text{Sym}(H)$ permutations such that $H_R = F_i^{f_i}, i \in I$. Then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i \text{Aut}(H)$$

is a solving set for $\text{Cay}(H, S)$.

Appearance of S-rings

Let $S \subseteq H$ be an arbitrary subset. Denote by \mathcal{S} an S -partition of H corresponding to the S -ring $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$. Then the association scheme $\text{Cay}(H, \mathcal{S})$ is a coherent closure of a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$.

Corollary

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H, S)) = \text{Aut}((H, \mathcal{S})).$$

Constructing global solving set

Klin - Pöschel approach

- 1 Enumerate all Schur rings over H : - $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$;
- 2 Compute the automorphism group of every S-ring $\mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and find its solving set;
- 3 Unify the solving sets found in the previous step.

As an example, we consider application of this process to \mathbb{Z}_8 . We denote the cyclic shift $x \mapsto x + 1, x \in \mathbb{Z}_8$ as ρ . Thus $(\mathbb{Z}_8)_R = \langle \rho \rangle$.

Example

N	S-partition	Aut	\mathbb{Z}_8 – bases
1	$\{0\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$	S_8	$\langle \rho \rangle$
2	$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 6, 4\}$	$S_4 \wr S_2$	$\langle \rho \rangle$
3	$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 6\}, \{4\}$	$S_2 \wr S_4$	$\langle \rho \rangle$
4	$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\}$	$S_2 \wr S_2 \wr S_2$	$\langle \rho \rangle$
5	$\{0\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2\}, \{6\}, \{4\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \wr S_2$	$\langle \rho \rangle$
6	$\{0\}, \{1, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{2\}, \{6\}, \{4\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \rtimes \langle 5 \rangle$	$\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \sigma \rangle$
7	$\{0\}, \{1, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\}$	$S_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\langle \rho \rangle$
8	$\{0\}, \{1, 3\}, \{5, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \rtimes \langle 3 \rangle$	$\langle \rho \rangle$
9	$\{0\}, \{1, 7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{2, 6\}, \{4\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \rtimes \langle 7 \rangle$	$\langle \rho \rangle$

Here σ denotes a permutation $x \mapsto 5x + 1, x \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. Notice that $\langle \sigma \rangle^{(26)(37)} = \langle \rho \rangle$. So, a solving set for Cayley digraphs over \mathbb{Z}_8 is a union of two $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_8)$ -cosets: $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_8) \cup (2, 6)(3, 7) \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_8)$.

Fusion control

Definition

Let $H_R \leq Y \leq Z \leq \text{Sym}(H)$ be a chain of subgroups. We say that **Y controls fusion of H_R in Z** if for any regular H -isomorphic subgroup K of Z there exists $z \in Z$ such that $K^z \leq Y$. Notation $Y \preceq_{H_R} Z$.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be two Schur rings over H . If $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}) \preceq_{H_R} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, then any solving set for \mathcal{B} is a solving set for \mathcal{A} .

We'll write $\mathcal{A} \sqsubseteq_i \mathcal{B}$ iff $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}) \preceq_{H_R} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$.

Constructing global solving set

Notice that \sqsubseteq_j is a partial order on the set of all S-rings over H .

Modified Klin - Pöschel approach

- 1 Find all Schur rings over H which are maximal with respect to \sqsubseteq_j : - $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$;
- 2 Compute the automorphism group of every S-ring $\mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and find its solving set;
- 3 Unify the solving sets found in the previous step.

\sqsubseteq_j -maximal S-rings may be classified up to Cayley isomorphism.

How to find \sqsubseteq_j -maximal S-partitions

All \sqsubseteq_j -maximal S-ring are Schurian.

p -groups

If H is a p -group, then \sqsubseteq_j -maximal S-ring are so-called p -S-rings, that is cardinalities of basic sets are p -powers.

In the considered example of the group \mathbb{Z}_8 there are 7 2-S-rings, among them only two are \sqsubseteq_j -maximal partitions: the singleton one and $\{1, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{0\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{6\}$.

H is a p -group

$$|H| = p$$

$\mathbb{C}[H]$ is the only p -S-ring over H

$$|H| = p^2$$

There are exactly two p -S-rings over H (up to Cayley isomorphism). If H is cyclic, both of them are \sqsubseteq_j -maximal. If H is elementary abelian, then only $\mathbb{C}[H]$ is \sqsubseteq_j -maximal.

$$|H| = p^3$$

If H is cyclic, then there are 5 p -S-rings over H . All of them are \sqsubseteq_j -maximal. If H is elementary abelian, then there are 6 p -S-rings over H and $\mathbb{C}[H]$ is the only one which is \sqsubseteq_j -maximal.